

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,538	12/30/2004	Akio Nodera	263152US0PCT	9530	
22850	7590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

1714

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\mathcal{D}		
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/518,538		NODERA, AKIO			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Kriellion A. Sa		1714			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I will apply and will ex e, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No	lovember 2005	i .				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayi	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-16 is/are pending in the app	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	-	deration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-16 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	irement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required i	f the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents			-(d) or (f).			
	Certified copies of the priority documents			on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• •		age		
	application from the International Bureau	•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen			_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5)		atent Application (PTO-15	52)		
· apc		0)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

The term "about" is indefinite. Although suggests that the term is not indefinite in that the values represent an average and said average an associated standard deviation. This argument is not persuasive because the term "about" is not necessary to define the average values. The term "about" as used in the claims is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably aprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nodera, US Patent No. 6727312 in view of Nodera et al, US Patent No. 6001929 and Hirai et al, 6,664,313.

Nodera, US Patent No. 6727312 and Nodera et al, US Patent No. 6001929 are relied upon for reasons set forth in the preceeding office action.

Nodera '312 discloses polycarbonates resins that are rendered resistant to flaming by the incorporation of non-halogen and non-phosphorus containing compounds. The invention provides for a polycarbonate resin composition having good flame retardancy and having good impact resistance, high stiffness and good chemical resistance. The flame-retardant polycarbonate resin composition comprises a resin mixture of (A) from 1 to 99% by weight of a polycarbonate and (B) from 1 to 99% by weight of a thermoplastic polyester, and contains, relative to 100 parts by weight of the resin mixture, (C) from 0.01 to 3 parts by weight of a polyfluoro-olefin resin, and (D) from 1 to 400 parts by weight of a polycarbonate-polyorganosiloxane copolymer and/or (E) from 0.1 to 10 parts by weight of a functional silicone compound. The compositions may further contain an inorganic filler, (F), which is for enhancing the stiffness and the flame retardancy of its moldings. The inorganic filler includes, for example, talc, mica, kaolin, diatomaceous earth, calcium carbonate, calcium sulfate, barium sulfate, glass fibers, carbon fibers, and potassium titanate fibers. Especially preferred for use herein are tabular fillers of, for example, talc and mica, and fibrous fillers. The content of the inorganic filler (F) in the resin composition may fall between 1 and 50 parts by weight, preferably between 2 and 30

parts by weight, relative to 100 parts by weight of the resin mixtures of the components (A) and (B) therein.

Nodera et al, '929 discloses a polycarbonate resin composition which comprises (A) a polycarbonate-polyorganosiloxane copolymer, (B) a polycarbonate resin and (C) a polytetrafluoroethylene which has fibril forming capability and an average molecular weight of at least 500,000 wherein the amount of the polyorganosiloxane moiety contained in the component (A) is 0.1 to 2.0% by weight based on the total amount of the components (A) and (B). The resin composition is excellent in flame retardancy, thermal stability and fluidity while preventing melt dripping at the time of combustion. The resin composition is used to produce electronic equipment. The polytetrafluoroethylene (hereinafter abbreviated to "PTFE") as the component (C) has an average molecular weight of at least 500,000, preferably in the range of 500,000 to 10,000,000, and more preferably in the range of 1,000,000 to 10,000,000. The blending amount of the component (C) is 0.05 to 1.0, preferably 0.1 to 0.5 part by weight based on 100 parts by weight of the total amount of the components (A) and (B).

The resin composition according to the present invention may be compounded, when necessary, with any of various types of inorganic fillers and additives, other kinds of synthetic resins or elastomers, or the like (hereinafter abbreviated to the component (D)) in addition to the above-mentioned components (A), (B) and (C) to the extent that such compounding does not impair the object of the present invention.

Suitable fillers to be compounded in the PC resin composition s include glass fiber(GF), carbon fiber, glass beads, glass flake, carbon black, calcium sulfate, calcium carbonate,

calcium silicate, titanium oxide, alumina, <u>silica</u>, asbestos, talc, clay, mica, powdery quartz and the like. As the aforesaid additive, mention may be made of an antioxidant of hindered phenol base, phosphorus base such as phosphorous ester base and phosphoric ester base or the like; a ultraviolet absorber of benzotriazole base or benzophenone base; an external lubricant such as an aliphatic carboxylic acid ester, paraffin, silicone oil, polyethylene wax or the like; a mold release agent; an antistatic agent, a coloring agent; and the like.

Each of Nodera and Nodera et al disclose that fillers may be incorporated into the patented compositions. Nodera discloses silicon based fillers other than silica. Nodera et al equates silica with many of the fillers disclosed by Nodera

Hirai et al discloses a *polycarbonate* resin composition comprising 100 parts by weight of an aromatic *polycarbonate* resin (a), 3 to 30 parts by weight of titanium oxide (b), 0.01 to 9 parts by weight of *silica* (c1), 0.01 to 9 parts by weight of a *polyorganosiloxane* polymer (c2), and 0.01 to 5 parts by weight of polytetrafluoroethylene (d).

Component (c1) serves to afford outstanding flame retardancy to the *polycarbonate* resin composition in synergism with polytetrafluoroethylene (d) mentioned later. Silica (c1), may be fumed silica, precipitated silica or dug silica in a pulverized form (silica powder). Fumed silica and precipitated silica are preferably those having a surface area falling in the range of 50 to 400 m.sup.2/g. These types of silica (c1) easily carry a *polyorganosiloxane* polymer on the surface (by means of absorption, adsorption or holding). The dug silica is preferably combined with at least an equal weight of fumed or precipitated silica and the mixture is adjusted so that the surface area of the mixed silica will fall within the range of 50 to 400 m.sup.2/g.

The silica (c1) may be treated with a surface treating agent such as a low-molecular weight hydroxyl- or alkoxyl-terminated polyorganosiloxanes, hexaorganodisiloxanes, hexaorganodisiloxanes.

The content of *Silica* (c1) is 0.01 to 9 parts by weight based on 100 parts by weight of the aromatic *polycarbonate* resin (a). When the content of *silica* (c1) is less than 0.01 part by weight, the molded article produced may be unsatisfactory in flame retardancy, mechanical strength and heat resistance, and when the content of *silica* (c1) exceeds 9 parts by weight, the obtained resin composition proves defective in impact resistance and fluidity. The preferred content of *silica* (c1) is 0.05 to 7 parts by weight, more preferably 0.1 to 5 parts by weight, based on 100 parts by weight of the aromatic *polycarbonate* resin. The indicated weight of *silica* (c1) includes the weight of the treating agent in case where *silica* is surface treated with a treating agent such as mentioned above.

The silica particles of Hirai et al arte thought to directly correspond to those of applicant's invention. It is not clear from the disclosure of Hirai et al, 6,664,313 that the silica particles disclosed therein are outside the size range of the silica particles of applicant's claims as amended, since Hirai et al defines the silica by surface area. It would be obvious to employ the silica particles of Hirai et al in the compositions of Nodera, US Patent No. 6727312 with an expectation of achieving an improvement in flame retardancy, mechanical strength and heat resistance. Hirai et al teaches that utilization of silica particles outside of the disclosed range results in unsatisfactory in flame retardancy, mechanical strength and heat resistance.

Applicant's comparative data in the specification at page 9 has been fully considered, and does indicate unexpected results for the polycarcarbonate-polyorganosiloxane copolymer of

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Examples 1 as compared to Comparative Example 4 wherein the examples differ only in the particle size of the silica.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kriellion A. Sanders
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

ks